

# Complexity of EEG During Sleep Onset Process

## Kristína Šušmáková

Institute of Measurement Science, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Dúbravská cesta 9, 842 19

Bratislava, Slovak Republic

umersusm@savba.sk

#### Abstract

This study was concentrated on changes of complexity of EEG signals during the transition from relaxed state to sleep onset. The ability of correlation dimension  $D_2$  and fractal exponent  $\gamma$  to discriminate these slightly distinct states was examined. Both measures indicated that brain was less complex system during sleep onset than during the relaxation; however,  $\gamma$  appeared to be more sensitive and better in characterizing various states of brain.

Sleep onset		Relaxation, people with tendency to sleep		Relaxation		
4,5	-1,2	4,5 -	-1,2	4,5	-1,2	
	- 1,3		1,3		-1,3	







**Figure 1:** Evolution of  $D_2$  and  $(-1)\gamma$  during sleep onset process; average from 12 signals and over all derivations of EEG

**Figure 2:** Evolution of  $D_2$  and  $(-1)\gamma$  during relaxation, people with tendency to oversleep; average from 12 signals and over all derivations of EEG

**Figure 3:** Evolution of  $D_2$  and  $(-1)\gamma$  during relaxation; average from 12 signals and over all derivations of EEG

#### **1. Introduction**

In sleep research and also in clinical practice Rechtschaffen and Kales system [1] for scoring sleep states and vigilance is widely used. In the case of sleep - wakefulness transition three states of vigilance are important: wakefulness, Stages 1 and 2 of non rapid eyes movement sleep. Hori et al. [2] subclassified these three classical stages into 9 novel stages that described more precisely the process of sleep onset. After Hori's system sleep onset process begins with reduction of alpha activity below 50 % of the scored epoch and ends with appearance the wave patterns sleep spindles or K-complex in the Stage 2. In this study the ability of two complexity measures correlation dimension and fractal (spectral) exponent to catch the process of sleep onset was examined.

### 2. Methods

• Correlation dimension  $D_2$  was computed after Grassberger - Procaccia algorithm [3]. After embedding signals into the phase space the correlation sum is computed:



$$C_2(\epsilon) = \frac{2}{(N)(N-1)} \sum_{i=0}^{N} \sum_{j>i}^{N} \Theta(\epsilon - \|\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j\|),$$
(1)

where  $\mathbf{x}_i$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_j$  are vectors in the phase space, N is the number of vectors and  $\Theta(\epsilon - ||\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j||)$  is the Heaviside function, which is equal one if the pair of vectors  $\mathbf{x}_i$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_j$  are less than a geometrical distance  $\epsilon$  and zero otherwise. Then,  $D_2$  is defined as:

$$D_2 = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\ln C_2(\epsilon)}{\ln \epsilon}$$
(2)

 $C_2$  is computed for several values of embedding dimension m. For deterministic signals  $C_2(\epsilon)$  shows a power-law behavior, so if the local slope of  $\ln C_2$  is taken against  $\ln \epsilon$ , then the value of the plateau gives the estimate of  $D_2$ .

• *Fractal exponent*  $\gamma$ : power spectra of fractal stochastic signals show power-low behavior with  $1/f^{\gamma}$ . So,  $\gamma$  is computed as the slope of linear fit of the power spectrum density in the double logarithmic graph. Fractal exponent  $\gamma$  was found to be negatively correlated with  $D_2$ , so for less complex signal  $D_2$  shows lower value and  $\gamma$  higher value [4].

#### 3. Data

Data came from a relaxation experiment, in which 8 healthy subjects were trained in relaxation during 25 sessions. Four subjects overslept several times. 3 min. long EEG from 6 channels was recorded, EEG derivations were: C3P3, C4P4, F3C3, F4C4, P3O1, and P4O2 after international 10-20 electrode placement system. EEG was sampled at 500 Hz and filtered from 0,75 Hz. After subjective scoring the records were selected into two groups: records of sleep onset (36 files) and records of relaxation state (339 files).

#### 4. Results and Conclusions

• The whole mean over 8 subjects and all EEG derivations:

## **Figure 4:** $D_2$ and $\gamma$ computed for first 60 epochs epochs of 30s, scored EEG, EEG Stages are labeled with black squares

• Furthermore the ability of both measures to catch the process of sleep onset was examined.  $D_2$  and  $\gamma$  were computed for EEG fragmented into 10 equal epochs, so 1 epoch was 18s long and has 8700 points. The average behaviors of  $D_2$  and  $\gamma$  for all three groups of signals - with sleep onset, relaxation of the subjects with and without the tendency to oversleep are on Figures 1-3. During the sleep onset  $D_2$  is evidently decreasing from the 4. epoch in contrast with both relaxations. The unusual differences in  $D_2$  and  $\gamma$  between subgroups with and without tendency to oversleep were confronted with the ability to improve the relaxation during the training process (25 sessions). Several authors found that long-term averaged decrease of  $D_2$  was a sign of improving relaxation. In this study the tendency not to oversleep was highly correlated with higher trend in improving the relaxation.

• Finally, the behaviour of  $D_2$  and  $\gamma$  was tested on data scored for sleep stages provided by Prof. G. Dorffner, received by The Siesta Group Schlafanalyse GmbH.  $\gamma$  was computed from 30s long EEG signals,  $D_2$  was computed from 90s long signals, from less points it was not possible to determine  $D_2$ . The results can be seen on Figure 4. It is evident that  $\gamma$  catches the process of sleep onset much better than  $D_2$ ; the correlation coefficient between  $\gamma$  and values of sleep stages was 86%.

- $D_2$  = 4,41  $\pm$  0,6 for relaxed data and  $D_2$  = 3,95  $\pm$  0,46 for sleep onset data
- $\gamma$  = 2,24  $\pm$  0,34 for relaxed data and  $\gamma$  = 2,59  $\pm$  0,21 for sleep onset data
- With regard to topographic characteristics of brain  $D_2$  and  $\gamma$  were averaged over signals with the same EEG derivation. The highest relative difference between relaxation and sleep onset appeared in the occipital area (especially in p4o2); for  $D_2$  it was and 14,27% and for  $\gamma$  -21,48%.
- With the aim to reveal possible intersubject differences  $D_2$  and  $\gamma$  were averaged over all channels for individual subject. Interesting findings were observed; subjects with tendency to oversleep (four subjects) showed lower  $D_2$  during relaxation than subjects that had never overslept. Subjects were divided into two subgroups after this tendency to oversleep, the averaged value of D2 and  $\gamma$  for these two subgroups are in Table 1.

	Relax	kation	Sleep onset	
	$D_2$	$\gamma$	$D_2$	$\gamma$
Tendency to oversleep	$\textbf{4,04} \pm \textbf{0,46}$	$\textbf{2,}\textbf{45} \pm \textbf{0,}\textbf{21}$	$3,95\pm0,46$	$\textbf{2,}\textbf{59} \pm \textbf{0,}\textbf{21}$
No tendency to oversleep	$\textbf{4,68} \pm \textbf{0,46}$	$\textbf{2,09} \pm \textbf{0,24}$	-	-

**Table 1:** Mean and standard deviation of  $D_2$  and  $\gamma$  for subgroups of subjects with and without the tendency to oversleep.

#### References

- [1] Rechtschaffen, A. and Kales, A. and (Eds.) A Manual of Standardized Terminology, Techniques and Scoring System for Sleep Stages of Human Subject. US Government Printing Office, National Institute of Health Publication, Washington DC, 1968.
- [2] Hori, T. and Hayashi, M. and Morikawa, T. *Topographic EEG changes and the hypnagogic experience*. In: Ogilvie, R.D. and Harsh, J.R. (eds). Sleep Onset: Normal and Abnormal Processes. Washington: American Psychological Association, 1994.
- [3] Grassberger, P. and Procaccia I. Measuring the strangeness of strange attractors. Physica 9D, pp. 189, 1983.
- [4] Pereda, E. and Gamundi, A. and Rial, R. and Gonzalez, J. *Non-linear behaviour of human EEG: fractal exponent versus correlation dimension in awake and sleep stages.* Neuroscience Letters, 250:919, 1998.

#### Acknowledgement

This work was supported by Slovak Grant Agency for Science (grant No 2/4026/04).